

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,469	07/29/2003	Krishna Darbha	END920010115US2 7302		
. 75	10/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts			NGUYEN, DILINH P		
3 Lear Jet Lane	, Suite 201				
Latham, NY 12110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814	2814	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Advisory Action	10/629,469	DARBHA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	DiLinh Nguyen	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
The stat	tus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s	s) allowed:							
Claim(s	s) objected to:							
Claim(s	Claim(s) rejected: 9-11,13,21,23,25,26,28 and 30-32.							
Claim(s	s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The dra	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that it is not obvious to combine the references, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Nagarajan et al. disclose a semiconductor device (cover fig.) comprising: a substrate 108 comprises a coefficient of thermal expansion that is greater than a coefficient of thermal expansion of the semiconductor device 202 (column 5, lines 19-23). Therefore, the structure devices of Nagarajan et al. comprising a plurality of thin film layers to reduce mismatch of a coefficient of thermal expansion of the die with the substrate.

LONG PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER